UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,360	01/23/2002	Suzuko Fukao	00862.022492	3383

5514   7590   12/14/2005

FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA
NEW YORK, NY   10112

EXAMINER
BURLESON, MICHAEL L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2626	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/052,360	FUKAO, SUZUKO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Burleson	2626	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.

2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL.** 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.

3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle,* 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) ☒ Claim(s) *1-18* is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) ☒ Claim(s) *1-18* is/are rejected.

7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:

      1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

      3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1.   Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35

U.S.C. 119(a)-(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1.   35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

> Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of
> matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the
> conditions and requirements of this title.

The claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Regarding claim 17, a

program must be on a computer readable medium.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1.   The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

> A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
> (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by
> another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent
> granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the
> applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section
> 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States
> only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2)
> of such treaty in the English language.

and 15-18

2.   Claims 1,2 and 5-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Hiratsuka et al. US 6108441.

3.   Regarding claim 1, Hiratsuka et al. teaches of a computer system (figure 3),

designating a color before color adjustment, an adjustment color and teaches of a LCH

color space (column 7,lines 8-65 and figure 5), which reads on an image processing

apparatus for performing color adjustment for image data, comprising; designating

means for designating, as parameters, a reference color, an adjusted color of the

reference color and an adjustment region in a color space. Hiratsuka et al. teaches of

determining if a pixel data are in LCH color space (column 8,lines 17-25), which reads

on a region determining means for determining whether input image data is in the

adjustment region. Hiratsuka et al. teaches of determining pixel data on color space in

accordance with color adjustment parameters (column 9,lines 5-25), which reads on

adjusted value calculating means for calculating an adjusted value of the image data on

the basis of the parameters, if said region determining means determines that the image

data is in the adjustment region.

4.   Regarding claim 2, Hiratsuka et al. shows that the region has a geometric shape

(figure 5), which reads on the adjustment region is defined as a geometric figure in the

color space.

5.   Regarding claim 5, Hiratsuka et al. shows an intersection of a straight line (figure

5), which reads on the adjusted value of the image data on the basis of an intersection

of a straight line which connects the reference color and the image data and the contour

of the adjustment region.

6.   Regarding claim 6, Hiratsuka et al. teaches that an Euclidean distance is used in

calculating color adjustment values (column 8, lines 35-45 and figure 5), which reads on

said adjusted value calculating means calculates the adjusted value of the image data

such that the adjustment amount linearly changes with respect to a distance between

the image data and the reference color in the color space.

7.   Regarding claim 7, Hiratsuka et al. teaches that an Euclidean distance is used in

calculating color adjustment values (column 8, lines 35-45 and figure 5), which reads on

said adjusted value calculating means calculates the adjusted value of the image data

such that the adjustment amount linearly changes with respect to a distance between

the image data and the reference color in the color space.

8.   Regarding claim 8, Hiratsuka et al. teaches of a color pallet (5) (column 6,lines

57-67, column 7,lines 8-15 and column 9, lines 25-30), which reads on the image data

is an element of a correction table for color matching.

9.   Regarding claim 9, Hiratsuka et al. teaches that the designated adjustment color

is converted to LCH color space (column 7,lines 1-20), which reads on a coordinate

transforming means for transforming the image data into the coordinate system of a

predetermined color space, wherein said region determining means and said adjusted

value calculating means each perform operations on the image data transformed into

the predetermined color space.

10.   Regarding claim 10, Hiratsuka et al. teaches of a computer system (figure 3),

designating a color before color adjustment, an adjustment color and teaches of a LCH

color space (column 7,lines 8-65, column 8,lines 17-25 and figure 5), which reads on

designating means designates the parameters as values in said predetermined color

space.

11.   Regarding claim 11, Hiratsuka et al. teaches of inverse operations performed on

color values in LCH color space (column 9,lines 5-10), which reads on said coordinate

transforming means inversely transforms the adjusted value, in the predetermined color

space, calculated by said adjusted value calculating means, into the color space

coordinate system of the image data.

12.   Regarding claim 12, Hiratsuka et al. teaches of inverse operations performed on

color values in LCH color space (column 9,lines 5-10), which reads on said coordinate

transforming means performs affine transformation and inverse transformation thereof.

13.   Regarding claim 13, Hiratsuka et al. teaches a conversion matrix that is stored

(column 7,lines 15-65), which reads on transformation matrix calculating means for

calculating, on the basis of the parameter, a transformation matrix used by said

coordinate transforming means and matrix storage means for storing the transformation

matrix.

14.   Regarding claim 15, Hiratsuka et al. teaches of a computer system (figure 3),

designating a color before color adjustment, an adjustment color and teaches of a LCH

color space (column 7,lines 8-65 and figure 5), which reads on an image processing

method for performing color adjustment for image data, comprising; designating means

for designating, as parameters, a reference color, an adjusted color of the reference

color and an adjustment region in a color space. Hiratsuka et al. teaches of determining

if a pixel data are in LCH color space (column 8,lines 17-25), which reads on a region

determination step of determining whether input image data is in the adjustment region.

Hiratsuka et al. teaches of determining pixel data on color space in accordance with

color adjustment parameters (column 9,lines 5-25), which reads on adjusted value

calculating step of calculating an adjusted value of the image data on the basis of the

parameters, if said region determining means determines that the image data is in the

adjustment region.

15.   Regarding claim 16, Hiratsuka et al teaches of a color pallet (5), color monitor (2)

that displays a color image (4) before color adjustment and a color image in an after

adjustment image window (7) for comparison (column 6,lines 57-67, column 7,lines 8-15

and column 9, lines 25-30). He also teaches of a color printer (10) (column 9,lines 47-

49). This reads on image processing system for performing color matching based on a

color correction table in an image processing apparatus in which a monitor and a printer

are connected. Hiratsuka et al. teaches of a computer system (figure 3), designating a

color before color adjustment, an adjustment color and teaches of a LCH color space

(column 7,lines 8-65 and figure 5), which reads on designating means for designating,

as parameters, a reference color, an adjusted color of the reference color and an

adjustment region in a color space. Hiratsuka et al. teaches of determining if a pixel

data are in LCH color space (column 8,lines 17-25), which reads on a region

determining means for determining whether input image data is in the adjustment

region. Hiratsuka et al. teaches of determining pixel data on color space in accordance

with color adjustment parameters (column 9,lines 5-25), which reads on adjusted value

calculating means for calculating an adjusted value of the image data on the basis of

the parameters, if said region determining means determines that the image data is in

the adjustment region.

16.   Regarding claim 17, Arguments are analogous to those stated in the rejection of

claim 1.   A program that performs a program is inherently taught as evidenced by the

computer (1) (figure 3 and column 6,lines 57-67).

17.   Regarding claim 18, Hiratsuka et al. teaches of a hard disk (column 6,lines 62-

64), which reads on a recording medium.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

18.   The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set
> forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and
> the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the
> invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.
> Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

19.   Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiratsuka

et al. US 6108441 in view of Magee US 5231504.

20.   Regarding claim 3, Hiratsuka et al. teaches of a computer system (figure 3),

designating a color before color adjustment, an adjustment color and teaches of a LCH

color space (column 7,lines 8-65 and figure 5), which reads on an image processing

apparatus for performing color adjustment for image data, comprising; designating

means for designating, as parameters, a reference color, an adjusted color of the

reference color and an adjustment region in a color space. Hiratsuka et al. teaches of

determining if a pixel data are in LCH color space (column 8,lines 17-25), which reads

on a region determining means for determining whether input image data is in the

adjustment region. Hiratsuka et al. teaches of determining pixel data on color space in

accordance with color adjustment parameters (column 9,lines 5-25), which reads on

adjusted value calculating means for calculating an adjusted value of the image data on

the basis of the parameters, if said region determining means determines that the image

data is in the adjustment region. Hiratsuka et al. shows that the region has a geometric

shape (figure 5), which reads on the adjustment region is defined as a geometric figure

in the color space.

21.   Hiratsuka et al. fails to teach that the geometric figure is an ellipsoid.

22.   Magee teaches of an elliptically shaped region (column 14,lines 10-14), which

reads on the geometric figure is an ellipsoid.

The image processing apparatus of Hiratsuka et al. could have easily been

modified with the elliptically shaped region of Magee.   This modification would have

been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to represent the color

adjustment region of an image processing apparatus.

23.   Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiratsuka

et al. US 6108441 in view of Kobayashi US 5937089.

24.   Regarding claim 4, Hiratsuka et al. teaches of a computer system (figure 3),

designating a color before color adjustment, an adjustment color and teaches of a LCH

color space (column 7,lines 8-65 and figure 5), which reads on an image processing

apparatus for performing color adjustment for image data, comprising; designating

means for designating, as parameters, a reference color, an adjusted color of the

reference color and an adjustment region in a color space. Hiratsuka et al. teaches of

determining if a pixel data are in LCH color space (column 8,lines 17-25), which reads

on a region determining means for determining whether input image data is in the

adjustment region. Hiratsuka et al. teaches of determining pixel data on color space in

accordance with color adjustment parameters (column 9,lines 5-25), which reads on

adjusted value calculating means for calculating an adjusted value of the image data on

the basis of the parameters, if said region determining means determines that the image

data is in the adjustment region. Hiratsuka et al. shows that the region has a geometric

shape (figure 5), which reads on the adjustment region is defined as a geometric figure

in the color space.

25.   Hiratsuka et al. fails to teach that the geometric figure is a polyhedron.

26.   Kobayashi teaches of a polyhedron (column 5,lines 20-28), which reads on the

geometric figure is a polyhedron.

The image processing apparatus of Hiratsuka et al. could have easily been

modified with the polyhedron region of Kobayashi. This modification would have been

obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to represent the color

adjustment region of an image processing apparatus.

27.   Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Hiratsuka et al. US 6108441 in view of Ueda US 6172681.

28.   Hiratsuka et al. teaches of a computer system (figure 3), designating a color

before color adjustment, an adjustment color and teaches of a LCH color space (column

7,lines 8-65 and figure 5), which reads on an image processing apparatus for

performing color adjustment for image data, comprising; designating means for

designating, as parameters, a reference color, an adjusted color of the reference color

and an adjustment region in a color space. Hiratsuka et al. teaches of determining if a

pixel data are in LCH color space (column 8,lines 17-25), which reads on a region

determining means for determining whether input image data is in the adjustment

region. Hiratsuka et al. teaches of determining pixel data on color space in accordance

with color adjustment parameters (column 9,lines 5-25), which reads on adjusted value

calculating means for calculating an adjusted value of the image data on the basis of

the parameters, if said region determining means determines that the image data is in

the adjustment region. Hiratsuka et al. teaches of a color pallet (5) (column 6,lines 57-

67, column 7,lines 8-15 and column 9, lines 25-30), which reads on the image data is an

element of a correction table for color matching. Hiratsuka et al. teaches that the

designated adjustment color is converted to LCH color space (column 7,lines 1-20),

which reads on a coordinate transforming means for transforming the image data into

the coordinate system of a predetermined color space, wherein said region determining

means and said adjusted value calculating means each perform operations on the

image data transformed into the predetermined color space.

29.   Hiratsuka et al. fails to teach of a region determining means further determines

that image data is inside a rectangular parallelepiped region containing the adjustment

region in the color space and if said region determining means determines that the

image data is inside the rectangular parallelepiped region, said coordinate transforming

means transforms the coordinates of the image data.

30.   Ueda teaches of a rectangular parallelepipeds (column 7, lines 40-60), which read

on a region determining means further determines that image data is inside a

rectangular parallelepiped region containing the adjustment region in the color space

and if said region determining means determines that the image data is inside the

rectangular parallelepiped region, said coordinate transforming means transforms the

coordinates of the image data.

The image processing apparatus of Hiratsuka et al. could have easily been

modified with the rectangular parallelepiped of Ueda.   This modification would have

been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to represent the color

adjustment region of an image processing apparatus.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Michael

Burleson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7460 and fax number is (571) 273-

7460. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. –

4:30p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams can be reached at (571) 272-7471

KIMBERLY WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Michael Burleson
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2626

Mlb
December 11, 2005